

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,670	12/11/2003	Richard S. Ginn	15997.4002	6280	
91477 Dickstein Shap	7590 06/01/2011 piro LLP		EXAMINER		
2049 Century			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO		
Suite 700 Los Angeles, 0	CA 90067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/734,670	GINN, RICHARD S.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MELANIE TYSON	3773	

MELANIE TYSON	3773					
ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar c, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the				
of the final rejection						
dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
	FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	TED MITHIN 14M				
ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims					
coresponding number of many reju	orou oranno.					
1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
	•	_				
	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail: e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.				
does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Melanie Tyson/						
	nit 3773					
	ars on the cover sheet with the collaboration in CONDITION FOR AL LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, afflady at (with appeal fee) in compliance if FR 1.114. The reply must be filed to of the final rejection. Oxidory Action or (2) the date set forth in the thing of the final rejection on the filed to of the final rejection. Oxidory Action or (2) the date set forth in oxidory Action or (2) the date set forth in oxidory Action or (2) the date set forth in a file oxidory Action or (2) the date set forth in a file oxidory action or the date of filing a brief, and the control oxidory action of the date of filing a brief, as desired and oxidory action of the date of filing a brief, as desired and oxidory action	obviour Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, with tert han SIM MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, by ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FII.) on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approximation and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropria notion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropria hortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final drift than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, etc. that the final drift than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, etc. that the final drift than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, etc. that the final drift than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, etc. that the final drift than three months after the mailling date of the final rejection, etc. that the final drift than the				

Continuation of 13, Other: The terminal disclaimer filed 19 May 2011 has been reviewed and is not accepted because it is based on the incorrect patent number/patent application number (12/856,493 is not the application the double patenting rejection is based - see final rejection mailed 24 January 2011 for details).